
Formative Assessment 2015 Work Place Based Clinical Assessment Sheet



Hospital/ Institution Name:	
Subject:	
Date of Assessment:	

I. CLINICAL EXAMINATION :

Candidate to be assessed with respect to history taking, clinical examination, differential diagnosis, management, communication skills, knowledge of published medical literature and analytical ability.

CASEI			RATIN	IG		Feedback
History taking/ Communication	Below Average	Average		Very Good	Excellent	reeuback
Clinical/ Physical Examination	Below Average	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	
Differential Diagnosis	Below Average	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	
Clinical Presentation	Below Average	Average	Good	Very	Excellent	
Management & Communication skills	Below Average	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	
Observation of procedures	Below Average	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	
CASE II			RATING	6		Feedback
History taking/ Communication	Below Average	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	
Clinical/ Physical Examination	Below Average	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	
Differential Diagnosis	Below Average	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	
Clinical Presentation	Below Average	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	
Management & Communication skills	Below Average	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	
Observation of procedures	Below Average	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	
Feedback & Areas of mprovement						
Result	Clear P		Borderlin (50-5		Borderline Fail (44-49)	Clear Fail (<49)

			RATIN	G		
Clinical Investigations	Below Average	Average	Good	Very	Excellent	Feedback
Investigation Techniques & Interpretation	Below Average	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	
Recent Advances	Below Average	Average	Good	Very	Excellent	
Discussion on Range of subjects including sub specialties & Allied Specialties	Below Average	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	
Discussion on Basic Sciences for the subject	Below Average	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	
Research Methodology	Below Average	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	
Clinical Co-relation	Below Average	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	
Procedures	Below Average	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	
Instruments	Below Average	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	
Any other areas deemed appropraite	Below Average	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	
Feedback & Areas of mprovement						
Result	Clear F		Borderline (50-5		Borderline Fail (44-49)	Clear Fail

III. Ward Round/ Clinical Investigations/ Spots

			estigatio	ins/Spo	ots	
			RATIN			
Ward Round	Below Average	Average	Good	Very		Feedback
Bed Side Discussions	Below Average	Average	Good	Good	Excellent	
Investigation	Below		0000	Good	Excellent	
Techniques	Average	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	
Investigation Interpretations	Below Average	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	
Instrument Handling	Below Average	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	
Spots	Below Average	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	
Feedback & Areas of Improvement		a suit				
Result	Clear F	STORY BENEFIT OF THE PARTY OF T	Borderlin (50-5		Borderline Fail (44-49)	Clear Fail

IV. ASSESSMENT OF LOG BOOK

Log Book Maintained		Yes		-	Vo	
If No (Seek Reason from the Candidate)					VO	
If Yes						
Log Book is Complete & Up to Date		Yes				
Log Book is Verified by Supervisor/ Guide		Yes		No No		
Viva on Log Book (The Viva on Log Book shall Include direct assessment of the procedures observed/ assisted/ performed independently. The examiner may structure the viva on any particular entry in the log book for that particular module)	Below Average	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	
Feedback & Areas of Improvement						
Overall Rating	Below Average	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	

V. ASSESSMENT OF THESIS WORK

1. THESIS PROTOCOL

Thesis Protocol Submitted		Yes	No	Un	der Proces
If Yes, Mention Date of Submission					
If No, Mention Reason of Non-Submission					
If Under process, Please mention the Status of Protocol submission Work					
Feedback & Areas of Improvement					
Overall Rating	Below Average	Average	Good	Very	Excellen

2.THESIS WORK

RESEARCH PURPOSE	YES		YES			NO	
Research title	Below Average	Average	Good	Very	Excellent		
Background and statement of research problem	Below Average	Average	Good	Very	Excellent		
Objectives	Below Average	Average	Good	Very	Excellent		
Significance and delimitation of the study	Below Average	Average	Good	Very	Excellent		
REVIEW OF LITERATURE	YE	THE RESERVE OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN 1		No			
Review of theoretical and relevant literature	Below Average	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent		
Implication of the review for the study	Below Average	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent		
DATA COLLECTION AND ANALYSIS	YE	S		NO			
Research design and methods	Below Average	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent		

Sample and sampling strategy	Belo Averd	10 70	Aver	age	Goo	u	ery	Exceller
Use of appropriate data collection tools	Belo Avera	BAR WELL	Avera	ige	Good	y Ve	ery	Exceller
Data collection and analysis ANALYSIS AND INC.	Belov Averag	E CONTRACTOR OF THE PERSON OF	Avera	ge	Good	Ve	ry	Excellen
ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION OF FINDINGS		YES	5				NO	
Presentation of results using tables, graph & figure	s Below	,				l Va		
Analysis and interpretation of result	Averag	e	Averag	je	Good	Goo	12 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Excellen
	Below Average	0000000	Averag	e	Good	Ver Goo		Excellen
Logical interpretation of results/findings and linkage with literature	Below Average	CONTRACTOR OF	Average	0 0	Good	Very		Excellent
Summary of findings	Below Average		Average		Good	Very	PRODUCTION OF THE PARTY OF THE	Excellent
CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	85,000 E - 10 E	'ES		+		Good		oenem.
Presentation of conclusion based on key findings	Below	T		+		Very)	
Recommendations based on findings and	Average	1	lverage	G	ood	Good	E	xcellent
conclusions (Research related recommendations)	Below Average	A	verage	G	ood	Very Good	E	xcellent
REFERENCING	Y	ES				NO	1	
Citation and referencing	Below	A	verage	-	od	Very	T	
READINESS FOR THESIS COMPLETION	Average YE		cruge	GC		Good	Ex	cellent
Feedback & Areas of Improvement						NO		
Overall Rating	Below Average	Ave	erage	God	nd 1	/ery	6	ellent

Knowledge of subject matter and confidence	Below Average	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent
Receptivity of comments and readiness to respond to Thesis Viva questions	Below Average	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent

nefending to comments/queries	Below Average	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent
Feedback & Areas of Improvement					

Feedback & Areas of Improvement				
	Below		Very Good	Excellent

OVERALL RESULT:

Kindly tick the appropriate option

Clear Pass	Borderline Pass	Borderline Fail	Clear Fail
(>=56)	(50-55)	(44-49)	(<49)

We certify that the guidelines issued by National Board of Examinations towards the conduct of the workplace based assessment have been complied with. None of the candidates examined was related to us or had done training under us. All candidates have been given adequate opportunity for assessment.

Signatures of Examiner	s: 1	2	
	3	4	